GENERAL

reframing organizations bolman and deal

Published: September 3, 2026 | Index ID: #-

Reframing Organizations with Bolman and Deal: A Comprehensive Guide

In today's fast changing business environment, leaders constantly seek fresh lenses through which to view their organizations. One of the most influential tools for doing just that is **the reframing framework developed by Lee G. Bolman and Terrence E. Deal**. By encouraging managers to shift perspectives, this approach helps uncover hidden problems, spark innovation, and align strategy with culture. Whether you're a seasoned executive or a new manager, understanding how to apply Bolman & Deal's reframing model can transform the way you diagnose challenges and craft solutions.

What Is Reframing Organizations?

Reframing is the act of changing the way a problem or situation is perceived, which can alter the solutions that emerge. In organizational theory, reframing involves looking at an organization from multiple viewpoints, each highlighting different aspects of its structure, culture, politics, and symbols. By shifting between these viewpoints, leaders can gain a holistic understanding of complex issues and avoid tunnel vision.

The Four Frame Model: A Quick Snapshot

- Structural Frame – Focuses on formal roles, responsibilities, and systems.
- Human Resource Frame – Centers on people, relationships, and motivation.
- Political Frame – Examines power, conflict, and resource allocation.
- Symbolic Frame – Looks at culture, meaning, and rituals.

Bolman and Deal argue that no single frame can fully explain an organization's behavior. Instead, effective leaders use all four frames in tandem, switching between them as circumstances demand.

Historical Context and Development

Lee Bolman and Terrence Deal first introduced the four frame model in their 1983 book *Reframing Organizations: Artistry, Choice, and Leadership*. Drawing from a blend of sociology, psychology, and political science, they proposed that reframing is essential for strategic alignment and organizational learning. Over the past four decades, the model has evolved through subsequent editions, incorporating new research on diversity, technology, and global governance.

Today, the reframing framework remains a staple in leadership curricula worldwide, celebrated for its simplicity and depth. It invites managers to ask: "What if we looked at this issue through a different lens?" and to consider how each frame can illuminate distinct solutions.

The Four Frames Explained in Detail

1. Structural Frame

The structural frame treats an organization as a machine designed to achieve specific goals. It emphasizes:

- Formal hierarchies and reporting lines
- Standardized policies and procedures

- Clear division of labor and accountability
- Efficiency and productivity metrics

When leaders adopt this perspective, they ask questions such as:

1. Is the organizational design aligned with our strategic objectives?
2. Do roles and responsibilities overlap or leave gaps?
3. Are our processes optimized for speed and quality?

Reframing through the structural lens can uncover bottlenecks, streamline workflows, and improve operational consistency.

2. Human Resource Frame

This frame views an organization as a social system composed of people with unique needs, aspirations, and talents. Key elements include:

- Motivation and job satisfaction
- Communication patterns and feedback loops
- Training, development, and career paths
- Team dynamics and interpersonal relationships

Questions that arise from this perspective include:

1. How do we align individual goals with the organization's mission?
2. What mechanisms exist for recognizing and rewarding contributions?
3. How can we foster a culture of trust and collaboration?

Applying the human resource frame helps leaders address morale, retention, and talent acquisition challenges.

3. Political Frame

Organizations are arenas of power, conflict, and negotiation. The political frame highlights:

- Competing interests among stakeholders
- Resource allocation and influence tactics
- Coalitions, alliances, and negotiation strategies
- Policy and governance structures

From this viewpoint, leaders ask:

1. Who holds influence over key decisions?
2. What are the potential sources of conflict?
3. How can we build coalitions to advance shared goals?

Reframing politically can reveal hidden agendas, power struggles, and opportunities for strategic alignment.

4. Symbolic Frame

The symbolic frame focuses on culture, meaning, and identity. It considers:

- Organizational rituals and ceremonies
- Stories, myths, and shared values
- Symbols, logos, and visual culture
- Leadership's role in shaping meaning

Questions that arise include:

1. What narratives define our organization’s identity?
2. How do symbols reinforce or undermine our mission?
3. What cultural changes are needed to support new strategies?

Using the symbolic frame can help leaders embed meaning into change initiatives, making them more resonant with employees.

Applying Reframing in Practice

Diagnosing Organizational Issues

Reframing starts with a thorough diagnosis. Leaders should:

- Collect data from multiple sources (surveys, interviews, performance metrics)
- Map out processes, roles, and relationships
- Identify pain points and opportunities across all four frames

By triangulating findings, managers gain a balanced view of problems that might otherwise be overlooked.

Selecting the Appropriate Frame

When a specific issue arises, choose the frame that most directly addresses it. For example:

- Operational inefficiencies !’ Structural
- Low employee engagement !’ Human resource
- Budget conflicts !’ Political
- Brand identity crisis !’ Symbolic

However, real problems often span multiple frames, requiring a blended approach.

Integrating Multiple Frames

Effective reframing involves weaving insights from all four frames into a cohesive strategy. Consider this process:

1. Identify the problem. Define the challenge in concrete terms.
2. Apply each frame. Examine the issue through structural, human resource, political, and symbolic lenses.
3. Synthesize insights. Highlight common themes and contradictions.
4. Design solutions. Craft interventions that address structural efficiency, human motivation, political feasibility, and symbolic alignment.
5. Implement and evaluate. Roll out changes, monitor outcomes, and adjust as needed.

By following this cycle, leaders ensure that solutions are comprehensive, balanced, and sustainable.

Benefits of Reframing Organizations

Enhanced Decision Making

Reframing expands the decision making horizon. Leaders who routinely switch frames are less likely to fall into cognitive biases, such as over confidence or groupthink. They consider alternative perspectives, leading to more robust choices.

Improved Communication

When leaders articulate problems and solutions using multiple frames, they communicate more effectively with diverse audiences. For instance, a senior executive may appreciate the structural efficiency of a proposal, while frontline managers value the human resource implications.

Greater Innovation

Reframing encourages creative problem solving. By viewing a challenge symbolically, leaders might uncover new narratives that inspire breakthrough ideas. Politically, understanding power dynamics can reveal unexpected allies to champion innovation.

Critiques and Limitations

Overemphasis on Frameworks

Some critics argue that strict adherence to the four frame model can lead to analytical paralysis. Over splitting problems may dilute focus and delay action.

Cultural Differences

Bolman & Deal's model was developed in a Western context. In cultures with high power distance or collectivist orientations, the political or symbolic frames may manifest differently, requiring adaptation.

Implementation Challenges

Reframing demands time, resources, and commitment from senior leaders. Without buy in, workshops and diagnostics can become token exercises rather than transformative initiatives.

Case Studies

Case 1: Tech Startup – Scaling with Structure and Symbol

A fast growing fintech startup struggled with rapid scaling. The leadership team applied the structural frame to design a clear hierarchy and streamlined processes. Simultaneously, they used the symbolic frame to reinforce a culture of "customer first" through rituals and storytelling. The result was a 30% increase in operational efficiency and a 25% rise in employee engagement.

Case 2: Non Profit Organization – Human Resource and Political Alignment

A charity faced declining donor support. By applying the human resource frame, the organization redesigned volunteer training to enhance satisfaction. The political frame helped negotiate partnerships with corporate sponsors, aligning stakeholder interests. Donor contributions rose by 40% within a year.

Case 3: Government Agency – Integrating All Four Frames

A municipal agency needed to overhaul its procurement process. Using the structural frame, they mapped workflows. The human resource frame identified training gaps among staff. The political frame exposed inter departmental power struggles, while the symbolic frame addressed the agency's public image. The comprehensive reform reduced procurement cycle times by 35% and improved public trust scores.

Tips for Leaders and Managers

Encourage Diverse Perspectives

Invite input from employees at all levels. Diverse viewpoints enrich reframing sessions and surface hidden assumptions.

Foster a Learning Culture

Celebrate failures as learning opportunities. Encourage experimentation across frames to uncover novel solutions.

Use Reframing Workshops

Structured workshops can guide teams through each frame. Facilitation tools, such as role playing or scenario mapping, help participants internalize reframing concepts.

Conclusion

Reframing organizations with Bolman and Deal's four frame model offers a powerful lens for diagnosing problems, crafting balanced solutions, and driving sustainable change. By consciously shifting between structural, human resource, political, and symbolic perspectives, leaders can navigate complexity with clarity and purpose. While the framework is not a panacea, its disciplined yet flexible approach equips managers to lead organizations that are efficient, humane, politically savvy, and culturally resonant.

Baca Juga (Artikel Terkait)

- **advanced engineering electromagnetics solution manual edition hardcover by balanis constantine a published by wiley**
  URL: http://denniswildberger.com/advanced-engineering-electromagnetics-solution-manual-edition-hardcover-by-balanis-constantine-a-published-by-wiley.pdf
- **advanced engineering electromagnetics solutions manual**
  URL: http://denniswildberger.com/advanced-engineering-electromagnetics-solutions-manual.pdf
- **advanced engineering electromagnetics wiley 1989 grading**
  URL: http://denniswildberger.com/advanced-engineering-electromagnetics-wiley-1989-grading.pdf
- **advanced engineering fluid mechanics by biswas**
  URL: http://denniswildberger.com/advanced-engineering-fluid-mechanics-by-biswas.pdf

Tags: #reframing #organizations #bolman #deal